The challenge

State of New Jersey – by the numbers

- 700,000+ members
- Annual spend of \$2.2b
- 11 million+ claims
- Long-term relationship with incumbent PBM

Pharmacy benefit plans

- Large plan with many stakeholders
- Multiple, complex plan design and formularies
- Expensive
- Hard to measure and manage
- It's a black box!

Reverse Auction Legislation

"We are rooting out PBM profiteering at the expense of New Jersey taxpayers and public employees."

We are achieving enormous savings without any cuts in public employee benefits and no compromises in the quality of health care for hard working public employees and their families."



A fresh approach: The PBM reverse auction

- Innovative, forward-thinking approach
- Scalable reverse auction platform
- Granular analysis of 100% of claims
- Levelled playing field among bidders for objective comparison
- Dynamic, competitive PBM marketplace lowered prices
- Entire process: weeks not months

The process



Pre-Qualification Step

The PBMs are invited to bid Seller Agrees to CONTRACT TERMS Sellers SUBMIT BIDS BUYER COMPARE ROUND 1 BIDS Sellers IMPROVE BASED ON BLINDED RESULTS BUYER COMPARE ROUND 2 BIDS BUYER COMPARE ROUND 2 BIDS</

To ensure delivery to your inbox, please add info@truveris.com to your address book.

TRUVERIS Home TruGuard TruBid TruBid® RFP SUMMARY (Sample State) 1 Return to RFP Configuration First Round: 19 DAYS 3 HRS 20 MINS Hello: You have been invited to participate in a TruBid RFP for Sample State. Sample State is comprised of approximately 300000 employees and 750000 **Client Profile Time Frames** Deal Type members. The RFP will be released on 10/07/2019 04:43 PM, and the RFP is for plan year 01/01/2020. Sample State Invitation: 10/15/2019 02:02 PM EST Broad • 30 Day Retail Network 750,000 members • First Round Bidding: 10/28/2019 02:03 PM EST Company Background: 300,000 plan participants Second Round Bidding: TBD Specialty Open Network Annual Spend: \$2,000,000,000.00 Email Reminders: Every 5 Days Bidding Information: Channel Partner: Zavas Plan Start Date: 01/01/2020 Channel Partner Location: New York, NY Incumbent PBM: Market Comp #4 The first round is due electronically by 10/28/2019 02:03 PM. Finalists will be selected after being reviewed by the appropriate parties and B & F Background Info: Cras fermentum enim instructions will be provided to those bidders. aliquet nibh aliquet, et facilisis est convallis. Sed vel neque vel elit tempus ... (read more) Should the PBMs choose to respond to this RFP, the PBMs will have access to a census and claims data file inside the RFP on the instruction page. Please let us know if your organization plans to participate in this RFP by 10/15/2019 02:02 PM. We look forward to your response, and should you have **ROUND 1** 🌣 🕐 any questions, do not hesitate to email: rfp_questions@truveris.com. Please login to TruBid at the link below for further information. RESULTS FINANCIAL SUMMARY DRUG CLASSIFICATION PRICING PROPOSAL TruBid: click here Market Comp #1 (Accepted) Market Comp #2 (Accepted) Market Comp #3 (Not Decided) \$ Reports: truveris.com @ 2012-2018 Truveris. All rights reserved. Contact.

Creating a level playing field terms



Ensuring consistent drug classification

The classification of drugs can unnecessarily increase spend and can impact rebate performance

SELLER AGREES TO CONTRACT TERMS	SELLERS SUBMIT BIDS BUYER COMPARE ROUND 1 BIDS BLINDED RESULTS BUYER COMPARE ROUND 2 BIDS BUYER AWARDS CONTRACT
	TruBid [®]
	BID TERMS DRUG PRICING REBATES PHARMACY FORMULARY BID SCENARIOS RFP SUMMARY
	Sample State (Bid ID: #14799) First Round: 19 DAYS 3 HRS 32 MINS Based on the definition provided below please indicate from an adjudication and net effective rate true-up basis how your organization treats each
	component. Also indicate if there are a minimum number of manufacturers needed for a drug to be considered multi-source for multi-source generic and single-source generic determination. Please note the selections made on this page will serve as your organization's contractual definitions for the terms listed below and shall be used in calculation for pricing guarantees set forth in the Pricing Proposal.
	Classification Source: Medi-Span ◆ Set as default Multi-Source Generics: Generic Set as default Single-Source Generics: Generic ◆ Set as default Minimum Manufacturers for Multi-Source: No ✓ Set as default
	Single-Source Brands: Brand Multi-Source Brands (Non-Innovator): Brand ◆ Multi-Source Brands (Innovator): Brand ◆ Set as default Set as default
	Rebate Classification: Brand Classified Scripts Descripts Descripts COMPLETE
	Multi-Source Generics: Indicated when using Medi-Span by a Multi-Source Code 'Y' or when using FDB by a GNI '1' and NDCGI1 '1'. Single-Source Generics: Indicated when using Medi-Span by a Multi-Source Code 'M' or 'N' with a Brand Name Code 'G' or when using FDB by a GNI '1' and NDCGI1 '2'. Multi-Source Brands (Non-Innovator):
	Indicated when using Kell-Shan by a Multi-Source Code 'O' with a Brand Name Code not equal to 'T' or when using FDB by a GNI '0' or '2' with an NDCGI1 '1' and INNOV of '0'. Multi-Source Brands (Innovator):

Pricing proposals

CONTRACT TERMS

BUYER COMPARE ROUND 1 BIDS

SELLERS SUBMIT

BIDS

TruBid®

BASED ON BLINDED RESULTS

SELLERS IMPROVE **BUYER COMPARE ROUND 2 BIDS**

BUYER AWARDS

PBMs input proposed discounts

This can be analysed on a claimby-claim basis to give a more accurate proposal

BID INSTRUCTION BID TERMS		DRMULARY BID ISRUPTION SCENARIOS	RFP SUMMARY		?
Sample State (Bid ID: #14797)		First Roun	id: 19 (DAYS 3 HRS 2	26 MINS
	tion reflect your responses to the qualitative and finan	cial terms section of th	ne RFP.		
PRICING STRUCTURE ?					
Retail Channel				Tradition	nal 🗘
Mail Channel				Tradition	nal 🗘
PRICING SOURCE (?)		PRICE	1	DATA	BASE
The drug information database		AWP	\$	Medi-	Span 🛊
used to calculate pricing					
AVERAGE COST GUARANTEES (average across Guar	antee Period)		YEAR 1	YEAR 2	YEAR 3
Discounts (Average 12 Month)			%	%	%
Retail Brand			17.50	17.50	17.50
Retail Generic			72.00	72.00	72.00
Mail Brand (1 to 999 days)			22.00	22.00	22.00
Mail Generic (1 to 999 days)			78.00	78.00	78.00
Dispensing Fee (Average 12 Month)			\$	\$	\$
Retail Brand				1.25	1.25
Retail Generic			1.25	1.25	1.25
Mail Brand (1 to 999 days)			0.00	0.00	0.00
Mail Generic (1 to 999 days)			0.00	0.00	0.00
CLAIM ADJUDICATION RATES 🥐		MIN CLAIM PRICE	YEAR 1	YEAR 2	YEAR 3
Ingredient Cost (Min. Discount per Claim)		\$	%	%	%
Retail Brand	Lesser of: 🖉 AWP 🗹 MAC 🖉 U&C	0.00	17.50	17.50	17.50
Retail Generic	Lesser of: ∉ AWP ∉ MAC ∉ U&C	0.00	72.00	72.00	72.00
Mail Brand (1 to 999 davs)	Lesser of: 🕢 AWP 🐼 MAC 🔲 U&C	0.00	22.00	22.00	22.00

*Sample, deidentified data



Detailed comparisons by PBM

Forecasted total drug spend for easy sideby-side comparisons

Estimated savings by PBM

FINANCIAL SUMMARY: 3 YEAR

	Current Contract	Market Comp #3 Bid #14801	Market Comp #1 Bid #14802	Market Comp #2 Bid #14797
Status		Submitted	Submitted	Submitted
Ingredient Cost	\$24,950,012	\$22,499,738	\$22,761,668	\$24,200,497
Dispensing Fee	\$161,734	\$106,033	\$118,019	\$148,098
Drug Spend	\$25,111,746	\$22,605,771	\$22,879,687	\$24,348,595
Admin Fees	\$2,700,000	\$0	\$0	\$0
Admin Credits	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Rebates	(\$7,781,327)	<mark>(</mark> \$6,093,385)	(\$6,655,605)	(\$5,870,187)
Other Financial Considerations	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Projected Bid Cost	\$20,030,419	\$16,512,385	\$16,224,082	\$18,478,409
Proj. Current Plan Cost	N/A	\$20,030,419	\$20,030,419	\$20,030,419
Total Savings \$	N/A	\$3,518,033	\$3,806,337	\$1,552,010
Total Savings %	N/A	17.56%	19.00%	7.75%
Implementation Allowance	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$60,000
Performance Guarantees Risk	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$8

* Plan & Member spend/savings assume same level of member cost share % as historical claims data

Comparisons include readjudication of 100% of historical claim data against each proposed PBM contract *Sample, deidentified data


Round 1 results: PBMs see how their bids compared and improve

Scores weighted by importance to the plan – configurable by plan

Objective, third party scoring – no misaligned incentives



	Weight	Score
Qualitative Course 2004	weight	Score
Qualitative Score — 30%		
DEFINITIONS	9%	19 / 19
INCORPORATION OF BID TERMS	9%	19 / 19
PLAN MANAGEMENT SERVICES	9%	18 / 19
OPERATION SERVICES	9%	18 / 19
AUDIT	9%	19 / 19
PRICING AND GUARANTEES	9%	19 / 19
REBATES	9%	18 / 19
CONTRACT TERM	9%	19 / 19
PERFORMANCE GUARANTEES	9%	19 / 19
CUSTOM TERMS	9%	0 / 19
Pharmacy Network	5%	11 / 11
Formulary Disruption	5%	20 / 22
Qualitative		199
Financial Score — 70%		
Pricing Exhibit	95%	498 / 498
Generic Dispensing Rate (GDR)	5%	10 / 26
Financial		508
Qualitative and Financial Blend		
Percent of Total Score		94.34%
Total Score		707




Second round	SELLER AGREES TO	SELLERS SUBMIT	BUYER COMPARE	SELLERS IMPROVE	BUYER COMPARE	BUYER AWARDS
results	CONTRACT TERMS	BIDS	ROUND 1 BIDS	BASED ON BLINDED RESULTS	ROUND 2 BIDS	CONTRACT

	Current Contract	Market Comp #3 Bid #14806	Market Comp #2 Bid #14805	Market Comp #1 Bid #14804
Status		Submitted	Submitted	Submitted
Ingredient Cost	\$24,950,012	\$22,499,738	\$23,817,876	\$22,587,23
Dispensing Fee	\$161,734	\$106,033	\$148,098	\$118,01
Drug Spend	\$25,111,746	\$22,605,771	\$23,965,974	\$22,705,25
Admin Fees	\$2,700,000	\$0	\$0	\$(
Admin Credits	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$
Rebates	(\$7,781,327)	(\$6,933,001)	(\$5,870,187)	<mark>(</mark> \$6,715,891
Other Financial Considerations	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$
Projected Bid Cost	\$20,030,419	\$15,672,769	\$18,095,788	\$15,989,36
Proj. Current Plan Cost	N/A	\$20,030,419	\$20,030,419	\$20,030,41
Total Savings \$	N/A	\$4,357,649	\$1,934,631	\$4,041,05
Total Savings %	N/A	21.76%	9.66%	20.179
Implementation Allowance	\$0	\$0	\$60,000	\$(
Performance Guarantees Risk	\$0	\$0	\$8	\$0

Estimated savings by PBMs has improved from first round

Contract awarded





To ensure delivery to your inbox, please add info@truveris.com to your address book.

TruBid®

Hello:

Please note that there is a new pending item posted regarding RFP #3042 for Sample State that requires your response by 11/01/2019 11:59 PM.

New Pending Item:


Congratulations!! The Client has awarded their business to Sample PBM and has requested that Sample PBM provide an executable contract based on the final offer submitted in the TruBid platform. Please provide your ETA as we would appreciate a timely response to communicate to the client. Please provide contact information for your Implementation Manager, as well as for your Billing Contact (name, address and e-mail). Thank you for your continued assistance.

Please submit your responses through the portal <u>here</u>. Responses via e-mail will not be considered.

Thank you for your cooperation.


truveris.com © 2012-2018 Truveris. All rights reserved. Contact.

PBM reverse auction process: Bid results 2017




Beyond the RFP: ensuring ongoing PBM accountability and contract oversight





The state employs continual contract performance monitoring for PBM accountability

Ongoing PBM accountability and oversight: sample results




Additional identified savings potential: (\$45.9m

Court Ordered Rebid:



With all three suppliers evenly matched on price, quality and technical expertise, Mark resorts to his last remaining selection tool.

PBM reverse auction process: Bid results 2019




Accrued savings over the life of PBM contract




\$1.6 Billion 2017 TruBid® RFP
\$403 Million 2018 Savings Over Projected
\$45.9 Million 2018 Identified Potential from Oversight
\$485 Million 2019 TruBid® RFP

\$2.53 Billion Total Projected Savings by 2022



PMPM 2016 – 2022

Baseline from incumbent PBM, Truveris Projections, Actuals



Cutting Costs Without Cutting Benefits

truveris.com/NJ